

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,004	01/17/2002	Anthony C. Zuppero	22122878-10	22122878-10 9133	
26453 7	590 06/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP			DIAMOND, ALAN D		
805 THIRD AVENUE - 29TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT PAPER NUMI		
			1753		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/052,004	ZUPPERO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alan Diamond	1753		

Boioro tiio i miig oi aii i ippoai Biioi	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alan Diamond	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ly reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must the AMENDMENTS 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: <i>None.</i> Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 27-46. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <i>None</i> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). <u>03172005</u>	's ,
		Alan Diamond	

Alan Diamond Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753 Continuation of 3. NOTE: In claims 1, 4, 37, and 49, the new limitation that at least some of the products of the catalytic reaction desorb and leave a surface of the catalytic reaction, and the new limitation of causing at least some of the kinetic energy of the highly vibrationally excited molecules to transfer to the electrons of the conducting surface, raise new issues that would require further consideration and search. Likewise in claim 47, the new limitation of converting energy of the excited carriers into electrical energy with efficiency greater than 2% of catalytic reaction energy raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.